

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/897,611 | 07/03/2001 | Hak Soo Kim | CIT/K-149 | CIT/K-149 9622 | |
| 34610 7: | 590 04/04/2005 | • | EXAMINER | | |
| FLESHNER & KIM, LLP | | | NGUYEN, KEVIN M | | |
| P.O. BOX 2212 | 200 | | | | |
| CHANTILLY, VA 20153 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2674 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 04/04/200 | DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| 09/897,611 | KIM ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | ; |
| Kevin M. Nguyen | 2674 | |

| | Kevin Ivi. Nguyen | 2014 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The | a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar ment, affidavit, or other evidence, al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of | idonment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque | cation in st for Continued |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | - | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to | | • | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)). | 1.37 must be filed within two montl FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of | ns of the date of filing | the Notice of |
| has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS | od set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | TE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7,9-11,13,14,16 and 24-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| • | XIAO WU | 1 | |
| | VIAN WII | Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner | |
| | PRIMARY EXAMIN | EArt Unit: 2674 | |
| • | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendments to the Specification, drawings, and claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Especially, claim 1 raises new limitation "pixel", claims 10, 13 raise new limitation "on", claim 27 raise new limitation "one pixel block set" and "pixel blocks set".